				UNITED STA Patent an Address: COMM. Washing	DEPARTMENT OF demark Office FONER OF PATENTS AND TRA		
4 -	ستبز			NAMED APPLICANT		, DOCKET NO.	
	΄. [APPLICATION NUMBER RUNG DATE 06/07/95	MOORE	OGEO AT DOM:	C NA	NO-001705U	
		08/484,918 06/0//95			FXA	MINER	
P_{-}			B3M1/	0403		71	
j		COOLEY GODWARD CASTRO	BOHITO		ENG, D	X	
1		LUDDIESON & TATUM			ART UNIT	I HAVEN NO.	
	٠	EIVE PALO ALTO SQUARE			2315	1)	
		3000 EL CAMINO REAL PALO ALTO CA 94306			DATE MAILED:	04/03/97	
		PALU ALTO CH 94300			DATE MAILED:	047.037.0	
7							
1							
	. 1	This is a communication from the examiner in charg COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK	w		. •	٠	
1		· -	OFFICE ACTI	ON SUMMARY			
	٠,	erite was a					
	X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_1/7_/			ar.	
			•				
	Ξ	This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allows accordance with the practice under Ex parts (ance except for for Quayle, 1935 D.C.	mal matters, prosec 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ution as to the merits is	closed in	
	1.13	thever is longer, from the mailing date of this capplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. 16(a). position of Claims Claim(s) 19-31,65-67 Of the above, claim(s)		4			
L.	74	Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn	is/are allowed.	
		Claim(s)				is/are rejected.	
	闷					are objected to.	
, .	님	Ctaim(s) are subject to restriction or election required					
	Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.						
	. 🗖	The drawing(s) filed on	·	is/are out	is [] approved	disapproved.	
	. 🖸	The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner				
	님	The cath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Pr	fortty under 35 U.S.C. § 119				,	
	_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
	ـــ	All Some* None of the CE	RTIFIED copies of	the priority documer	nts have been .		
٠		received. received in Application No. (Series Co	de/Serial Number) tion from the Intern	ational Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		
		*Certified copies not received:	·				
٠,	·Ė	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(6	a).		
		litachment(s)					
•	9	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	· ·		•	•	
		Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO	-1449, Paper No(s	3)		•	
**		Interview Summary, PTO-413					
1.8	Ŀ	_					

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FC-LLOWING PAGES-

Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Serial Number: 08/484,918

Art Unit: 2315

The active claims are 19-21, 65-67 and 72-79.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 19-21, 65-67 and 72-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magar in view of Pelgrom.

See at least Figures 1 and 2 and the corresponding description in the specification of Magar. Figure 1 shows a data processing system having a single chip microcomputer 10 and an I/O interface 12. Figure 2a shows that the microcomputer includes clock generator and a cpu (the rest of the components). Although Mager's microprocessor is fabricated on the same chip, Magar does not explicitly state that the compoents are constructed of the same process technology with corresponding manufacturing variations. See lines 40-43 in column 4 of Pelgrom. Pelgrom teaches that electronioc components would exhibit same characteristics if they are manufactured by the same process technology. Since Pelgrom's microprocessor is made of electronic components, it would have obvious, from the teahcing of Pelgrom, to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the components of Magar' microprocessor and clock (oscillator) make of the same process for ensuring processing frequency of the cpu to track the clock rate in response to the parameter variations.